

# Dulwich Community Council

Thursday 16 September 2010

7.00 pm

East Dulwich United Reformed Church, East Dulwich Grove, London  
SE22 8RH

## Supplemental Agenda Late Item

Item No.	Title
16.	Community Councils Highways and Lighting Capital Investment 2010-11

Please note that a late report has been added to the agenda at the request of the Chair.

**Contact**

020 7525 7234 or email: [beverley.olamijulo@southwark.gov.uk](mailto:beverley.olamijulo@southwark.gov.uk)

Date: 14 September 2010

# Agenda Item 16

## Late item

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 16 September 2010	<b>Meeting Name:</b> Dulwich Community Council
<b>Report title:</b>		Community Councils Highways and Lighting Capital Investment 2010-11	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		Those in the Dulwich Community Council Area	
<b>From:</b>		Gill Davies, Director Environment and Housing	

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. To agree the works to be funded from the proposed schemes in the Dulwich community council area as set out in appendix A.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2. The declining quality of public highway coupled with extreme weather events has led to further deterioration – with some non principal, unclassified roads being particularly affected. Given the nature of these roads and the lower level of traffic flows it is unlikely that such locations will feature in any major resurfacing programme. Without the necessary capital allocation to attend to such locations, complaints of poor road surfaces can only be dealt with through the reactive maintenance programme.
3. As part of the approved Highways capital investment programme for 2010 -2011 a significant proportion has been devolved to each community council area
4. Each Community Council will receive £100,000 for highways surface improvements and £75,000 for Lighting improvements of their choice

## KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

### Engineers Selections

5. As an aid to the selection process relevant engineers have provided a range of required works and indicative costs for their implementation.
6. In addition to the resurfacing selections provided it has been agreed and authorised that it could appropriate to spend the surfacing money on minor patching and pothole repairs should a Community Council wish to do so.

### Community Council Selections

7. This money can be spent on any asset renewal or replacement project selected by the Community Council with the caveats that it cannot be spent on traffic safety or parking schemes, non functional or decorative installations and / or non-essential works.

**Delivery**

8. Once the Community Council has made their selections by the method of their choice the Asset Management BU will design and deliver the works as soon as possible.

**Community Impact Statement**

9. There are no specific community impact issues arising from the recommendations.

**REASON FOR LATENESS**

10. The comments reported above have all been received since the agenda was printed. They all relate to an item on the agenda and Members should be aware of the comments made.

**REASON FOR URGENCY**

11. The item is best considered with the items already on the agenda, in that it relates to street scene and public realm issues. The reason for delay in placing it on the agenda is that the engineers were awaiting the results from the borough-wide condition surveys.

**BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

<b>Background Papers</b>	<b>Held At</b>	<b>Contact</b>
Highways Capital Investment Programme Decision 29/03/2010	160 Tooley Street PO Box 64529 2nd Floor, Hub 4 Southwark Council London SE1P 5LX	Alexa Coates, Principal Constitutional Officer  020 7525 7385

**APPENDICES**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Title</b>
Appendix 1	Dulwich Candidate Schemes




**AUDIT TRAIL**




<b>Lead Officer</b>	Gill Davies, Director Environment and Housing	
<b>Report Author</b>	Des Waters, Head of Public Realm	
<b>Version</b>	Final	
<b>Dated</b>	14 September 2010	
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No	
<b>CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES</b>		
<b>Officer Title</b>	<b>Comments Sought</b>	<b>Comments included</b>
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance	No	No
Finance Director	No	No

Date final report sent to Constitutional Support Services	8 June 2010
---	-------------

## Highway Candidate Schemes for Community Council funding 2010-11 Dulwich

Under spend from 2009-10      £58,796  
2010 -11 allocation            £100,000  
Available for 2010 -11         **£158,796**

Candidate Scheme	Existing Situation		Proposed Work	Estimated Cost
	Condition Report	Existing site photos		
<b>College Road</b> (College Ward)	<p><b>Carriageway</b> :Subsiding trench reinstatements, local subsidence, repeated patching in various stages of deterioration, rutting, longitudinal cracking, local transverse cracking, poor channel alignment, evidence of pot holes due to last cold spell,</p>		<p><b>Carriageway:</b> Resurfacing, full depth reconstruction in some locations, channel reprofiling, replacement of traffic calming measures, replacement of road marking</p>	£60,000
<b>Seely Drive</b> (College Ward)	<p><b>Carriageway</b> :Subsiding trench reinstatements, local subsidence, repeated patching in various stages of deterioration, aggregate stripping, rutting, longitudinal cracking, local transverse cracking, poor channel alignment, evidence of pot holes due to last cold spell, <b>Footways:</b> Untidy condition, relatively low footfall, important walking route, tree root lifting of paving, untidy tree pits</p>		<p><b>Carriageway:</b> Resurfacing, full depth reconstruction in some locations, channel reprofiling, replacement of traffic calming measures, replacement of road marking <b>Footways:</b> Repave to Council standard, reinstate cross overs,</p>	£50,000
<b>Landell Road</b> (East Dulwich Ward)	<p><b>Footways:</b> Untidy condition, important walking route, Uneven Footway surface.</p>		<p><b>Footways:</b> Repave to Council standard, reinstate cross overs,</p>	£70,000

<p><b>Pellatt Road</b> (East Dulwich Ward)</p>	<p><b>Footways:</b> Untidy condition, important walking route, , Uneven Footway surface</p>		<p><b>Footways:</b> Repave to Council standard, reinstate cross overs.</p>	<p>£65,000</p>
<p><b>Roseway</b> (Village Ward)</p>	<p><b>Carriageway</b> :local subsidence, repeated patching in various stages of deterioration, aggregate stripping, high density of informal cracking ,</p>		<p><b>Carriageway:</b> Resurfacing, channel reprofiling, replacement of road marking</p>	<p>£85,000</p>
<p><b>Bowen Drive</b> (Village Ward)</p>	<p><b>Carriageway</b> : local subsidence, repeated patching in various stages of deterioration, aggregate stripping, rutting, longitudinal cracking , local transverse cracking, poor channel alignment,</p>		<p><b>Carriageway:</b> Resurfacing full depth reconstruction in some locations, channel reprofiling, replacement of traffic calming measures, replacement of road marking</p>	<p>£45,000</p>

**Street Lighting Candidate Schemes for Community Council funding 2010-2011  
Dulwich**

Under spend from 2009-10      £37,000  
2010 -11 allocation            £75,000  
Available for 2010 -11        £112,000

Candidate Scheme	Existing Situation		Proposed Work	Estimated Cost
	Condition Report	Existing site photos		
<b>St Stephens Church Path</b> (Ward - College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£10,000
<b>Woodsyre</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£10,000
<b>Paxton Roundabout</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£16,000
<b>Rusholme Road</b> (Ward –Camberwell Green)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£18,000
<b>Fountain Drive</b> (Ward –College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£44,000
<b>Crescent Wood Road</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£51,000

<b>South Croxted Road</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£93,000
<b>Dulwich Wood Avenue</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£99,000
<b>Crystal Palace Parade</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£101,000
<b>Dulwich Wood Park</b> (Ward – College)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£116,000
<b>Friern Road</b> (Ward – East Dulwich)	The road consists of a mixture of lamp and column types. The majority of the lanterns are now obsolete and lamp are due be banned within the next couple of years under the EUP (Energy Using Products) directive.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£126,000
<b>Barry Road</b> (Ward – East Dulwich)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£128,000
<b>Crystal Palace Road</b> (Ward –East Dulwich)	The road consists of a mixture of lamp and column types. The majority of the lanterns are now obsolete and lamp are due be banned within the next couple of years under the EUP (Energy Using Products) directive.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£134,000
<b>Croxted Road</b> (Ward – South Camberwell)	50% or above of the existing lighting stock is structurally compromised.	To follow if selected	A new lighting scheme is required to replace structurally compromised lighting columns and bring the road up to current standards	£180,000



